Christopher Atchison, Ph.D.

Director, International Advisory for Geoscience Diversity Assistant Professor, Geoscience Education, Georgia State University

Don Stredney Director, Interface Lab, Ohio Supercomputer Center

National Science Foundation Advisory Committee Meeting for GEO, OEDG Washington, DC October 14, 2011





Introduction

• Objectives and Timeline of the Project

#### Audience

• Demographics, grade level, numbers involved

### **Specific Needs**

• Barriers to entering geosciences

acca

 Our Specific Approaches to meeting those needs and addressing these barriers

### **Preliminary Results**

• Any Impact to date and its supporting evidence

### **Lessons Learned**

To date

### **Open Questions and Discussion**







### **Introduction: Objectives**

Empower. Partner. Lead.

- 1. Obtain additional data related to the geological formations of the study
- 2. Integrate the data into a virtual environment to emulate a typical field experience
- 3. Conduct usability studies with the mobility impaired community

**Ohio Supercomputer Center** 

- 4. Assess the efficacy of the virtual environment to provide an effective educational alternative to field experience
- 5. Plan for a broader quantified study of the cave and karst system module for an OEDG Track 2 submission.

acca

#### **Introduction: Timeline**



#### Audience

- ~1.4 million students, reported having a disability of some kind (NCES, 1999; Locke, 2005)
- According to the American Geological Institute (AGI), during the 1995–1996 school year, the total U.S. undergraduate enrollment in the geosciences was estimated at 32,932; of this group, only 59 students (0.17%) were identified as disabled (AGI, 2006; Locke, 2005).

**Ohio Supercomputer Center** 

• 8 Million Americans with Mobility Impairments

acca

 Geoscience remains one of the sciences with the lowest participation levels for persons with disabilities (Locke, 2005)



#### Audience

Demographics (Planning Grant Study)

- 6 Students with mobility impairments (WSU)
  - 5 congenital, 1 acquired
  - 3 female, 3 male
  - Ages 19-44 (average of 21 without outlier)
  - 5 undergraduate, 1 graduate
  - 3 white, 2 African American, 1 Asian
  - No science majors

Demographics (Track 1 Study)



#### **Specific Needs**

Barriers to entering geosciences

Emphasis on Field experience Geoscience field-based coursework offerings in most geology and earth science departments are reappearing nationwide (AGI, 2009; Whitmeyer and Mogk, 2009).

Locke identified a traditional conceptualization of fieldwork that ultimately suggests that "geoscience careers are only for the strong and able-bodied" (Locke, 2005, p. 2). Those students who do not fit this able-bodied profile are therefore marginalized, and excluded from traditional geoscience fieldwork (Nairn, 1999; Hall et al., 2004; Hall and Healey, 2005).

Our Specific Approaches to meeting those needs and addressing these barriers

acc

- adoption and adaptation of emerging and enabling technologies to meet the needs of the under-represented population.
- develop a synthetic (virtual) environment tailored to emulate a field study of cave geology.

**Ohio Supercomputer Center** 

**Preliminary Results – lessons learned** 

Content knowledge:

Enhanced interpretation skills

Barriers:

- Physical
- Psychological (increased self-efficacy)
- Societal

Unanticipated outcomes:

- Group unity/ inclusive of instructional staff
- Frustration for lack of control in decision-making

**Ohio Supercomputer Center** 

- Implicit and indirect fears
- Pros and Cons of independence

acca

### **Preliminary Results – moving forward**

- Interest in content and gratitude for inclusion
- Involve individuals with physical disabilities in the planning process
- Analysis of barriers to inclusion in field-based learning environments
- Interfaces to accommodate persons with physical disabilities



#### **Preliminary Results – moving forward**

Initial LIDAR data integrated into Unity3D











Ohio Supercomputer Center